What Quality Aspects Influence the Adoption of Docker Images?

<u>Giovanni Rosa</u>, Simone Scalabrino, Gabriele Bavota and Rocco Oliveto



<u>@giovannipink</u>

University of Molise, Italy





38th International Conference on Automated Software Engineering 11-15 September 2023, ECCL (Luxembourg)





#1 most-desired and #1 most-used dev tool

Why Docker?





Dockerfile

Docker in a nutshell



Docker in a nutshell



Docker in a nutshell



Which Docker image to choose?



Which Docker image to choose?



Which Docker image to choose?

How to describe



a «good» Docker image?

Characterizing the Occurrence of Dockerfile Smells in Open-Source Software: An Empirical Study

Wu et. al 2020

Grant 6170253

ABSTRACT Dockerfile plays an important role in the Docker-based software development process, but many Dockerfile codes are infected with smells in practice. Understanding the occurrence of Dockerfile smells in open-source software can benefit the practice of Dockerfile and enhance project maintenance. In this paper, we perform an empirical study on a large dataset of 6,334 projects to help developers gain some insights into the occurrence of Dockerfile smells, including its coverage, distribution, co-occurrence, and correlation with project characteristics. Our results show that smells are very common in Dockerfile codes and there exists co-occurrence between different types of Dockerfile smells. Further, using linear regression analysis, when controlled for various variables, we statistically identify and quantify the relationships between Dockerfile smells occurrence and project characteristics. We also provide a rich resource of implications for software practitioners.

INDEX TERMS Docker, Dockerfile smells, Open-source software, GitHub.

I. INTRODUCTION

"There are over one million Dockerfiles on GitHub today, but not all Dockerfiles are created equally." — Tibor Vass¹ Docker², as one of the most popular containerization tools.

enables the encapsulation of software packages into containers [1]. Docker allows packaging an application with its dependencies and execution environment into a standardized, self-contained unit, which can be used for software development and to run the application on any system [2]. Since inception in 2013, Docker containers have gained 32,000+ GitHub stars and have been downloaded 105B+ 79% of companies chose Docker as their primary container

approving it for publication was Roberto Nardone[®]. However, the presence/absence of Dockerfile smells in OSS https://www.docker.com/bogitato-guido-to-dockerfile-best-practices/ https://www.docker.com/ https://www.docker.com/company, as of November 2019 4https://portworx.com/2017-container-adoption-survey/

declarations in the Dockerfile [3] which specifies the Docker commands and the order of their execution, following the notion of Infrastructure-as-Code (IaC) [4]. Thus, studying Dockerfile is very relevant to Docker-based software development. Code smells [5] indicate the presence of quality problems

in a software project. Recently, smell metaphor has been extended to various related sub-domains of software, e.g., database [6], logging [7], and continuous integration [8]. Typically, when developers are building a Docker image, they should thoroughly read Docker's official documentatimes³. The "Annual Container Adoption" report⁴ found that tion's best practices for Dockerfile⁵. Although such guideline covers the recommended best practices and methods, it is still technology. The contents of a Docker container are defined by rules due to lack of awareness and attention. Therefore, simi-The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and lar to regular code, Dockerfile code can also indicate smells.

> ⁵https://docs.docker.com/develop/develop-images/dockerfile_ best-practices

VOLUME 8, 2020 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. For more information, see http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ 34127

Ĭ

"smells are very common in Dockerfile codes"

Characterizing the Occurrence of Dockerfile Smells in Open-Source Software: An Empirical Study

Wu et. al 2020

A Study of Security Vulnerabilities on Docker Hub

Shu et. al 2017

Grant 617025

ASTRACT Dockerfle plays an important role in the Docker-based software development process, but many Dockerfle clays an important role in the Dockerfle and enhance project maintenance. In this paper, we perform an empirical study on a large dataset of 6.34 projects to the developer gain some insights into the occurrence of Dockerfle smells, including correlation with posice characteristics. Our results show the study of the developer gain some insights into the occurrence between different types of analysis, when occurrence between different types of analysis, when occurrence and project characteristics.

INDEX TERMS Docker, Dockerfile smells, Open-sou

I. INTRODUCTION

"There are over one million Deckerfiles on Gitthle not all Dockerfiles are created quality." — "Theo Docker", as one of the most pepular containering tankes the encapsulation of software packages tainers [1]. Docker allows packaging an applica development and a execution environment inti ized, safe-contained unit, which can be used development and to run the application on an Since inception in 2013. Docker containers 20,000- Gitth bass and have been down of companies chose Docker as their pr technolow. The contents of a Docker containers

The associate editor coordinating the revi approving it for publication was Roberto Nardo ¹https://www.docker.com/biogrintro-guide-tr ²https://www.docker.com/ ³https://www.docker.com/ ⁴https://portworx.com/2017-container-adopt

VOLUME 8, 2020 This work is license

XX

"smells are very common in Dockerfile codes"

"images contain more than 180 vulnerabilities on average"

Characterizing the Occurrence of Dockerfile Smells in Open-Source Software: An Empirical Study

Wu et. al 2020

ABSTRACT Dockerfile plays an important role in the Docker-based software development many Dockerfile codes are infected with smells in practice. Understanding the occurrence of Dockerfile smells in open-source software can benefit the practice of Dockerfile and enhance project maintenance. In this paper, we perform an empirical study on a large dataset of 6,334 projects to help developers gain some insights into the occurrence of Dockerfile smells, includin oution, co-occurrence, and elation with project characteristics. Our results show n in Dockerfile codes and there exists co-occurrence between different types of analysis, when controlled for various variables, we between Dockerfile smells occurrence and project ations for software practitioner

INDEX TERMS Docker, Dockerfile smells, Open-s

"There are over one million Dockerfiles on GitHul not all Dockerfiles are created equally." — Tibor Docker², as one of the most popular of nables the encapsulation of software tainers [1]. Docker allows packaging an app ependencies and execution enviror zed, self-contained unit, which can be us evelopment and to run the application on Since incention in 2013 Docker contai 32,000+ GitHub stars and have been dow imes3. The "Annual Container Adoption 79% of companies chose Docker as the any. The contents of a Docker of

vpirical Software Engineering (2020) 25:4250-4281 bl.org/10.1007/s10664-020-09873-0

are images for the same system?

Too many images on DockerHub! How different

cies into one isolated package, which is called a container. Ine goal of these containers is to deploy or replicate a software system on various platforms and environments without the software system on various platforms and environments. to ucpusy or repricate a souware system on various platornis and environments without facing any compatibility or dependency issues. Developers can instantiate these containers for the second statement of the second statem

taking any companying or uspensions insus, hereagers an insumation may communi-from images using Docker; one of the most popular containerization platforms. Further itum images using Locker; one or me most popular containerization platforms, Further-more, many of these images are publicly available on DockerHub, on which developers can nore, many or mese manges are puoney aramone on Rockermus, on when use copes can share their mages with the community who in turn can leverage such publicly available there. Hence, the contract of the contr snare uneir images with the community who in turn can teverage such publicly available image. However, DockerHub contains thousands of images for each software system, which interface the software of an image of constrained text. In this means the imagination the strength of the software of an image of constrained text. mage, nowever, acceleration contains unonanna or images for each software syneam, which makes the selection of an image a nontrivial task. In this paper, we investigate the differmakes the selection of an image a nontrivial task. In this paper, we investigate the differences among DockerHub images for five software systems and 936 images with the goal of balance Docker to view of DockerHub images. ences among DockerHub images for five software systems and 936 images with the goal of helping Docker tooling creators and DockerHub better guide users select a suitable image.

neiping Locker toming treasure and Lockertum outer guine uses server a automore images We observe that users tend to download the official images (images that are provided by Desire the theorem of the server of t we observe that users tend to uswittigat are orthogan integers that are portioned of Docker itself) when there exist a large number of image choices for each single software software the compositivities of (comparison that for provided by the compositivity doubted LOCKET IDENI) which there exist a large number of image choices for each single software system on the community images (images that are provided by the community develop-ers), which are in many cases more recovery efficient these lare Authorse economics and system on use commany mages (mages use as provide of use commany server) ers), which are in many cases more resource efficient (have less duplicate resources) and here be constructed when the two to the construction of the two server (control of the two server). ets), winch are in many cases more resource crincein (nere ress upprear, resource), and have less security vulnerabilities. In fact, we observe that 27% (median), 35% (median), 65% have less security vulnerabilities, in fact, we observe that 27% (median), 35% (median), 6% (med (median), and 9% (median) of the DockerHub Debian, Centos, Ubantu, and Alpine based images are identical to another image across all the studied software systems. Furthermore, *Defet (mediate)* and (mediate) and *Def (mediate)* unages are restructed to another image actors at the subset of sectors a system of subsetation of the Alpine, Debian, and Ubuntu based 26% (median), 49% (median), and 8% (median) of the Alpine, Debian, and Ubuntu based of the Alpine, Debian and Ubuntu based 20% (meunan), 49% (meunan), and 6% (meunan) or une Argues, Leonari, and Commo ease of a community images are more resource efficient than their respective official images access and the second secon community images are more resource enterent that user respective oriental images across a linear the first studied software systems 7% (median) of the community Debian based images across the second statement of the statement of the second statem all the rive studied software systems. 7% (median) of the community Debian based images have less security vulnerabilities than their respective official images across the four studt rest security vumerationes man user respective orneration images across use tour sour-oftware evelone, for which an official Debian based image exists. Unfortunately, the

which an other Dennin onese image exists. Ontertuninely, me which images do not guide users when selecting an image (the

Ibrahim et. al 2020 Containerization is a technique used to encapsulate a software system and its dependen-Consumerization is a recumque user to encapsulae a software system and its opposition of the software system and the software

Check for

A Study of Security Vulnerabilities on Docker Hub

Shu et. al 2017



"smells are very common in Dockerfile codes"



"images contain more than 180 vulnerabilities on average"

"community images are more resource-efficient and have fewer vulnerabilities"



What **quality features** characterize the adoption of a Docker image (and its Dockerfile)

<u>Step 1:</u> Learning from the Literature



Literature review



Taxonomy of quality metrics



Taxonomy of quality metrics



Step 2: Catching the Developers' Preferences



Can the externally observable features explain the developers' preference for a Docker image?



RQ1: Context

~2.4k Docker images

% Contended of the second secon

open-source repos

RQ1: Context





RQ1: Experiment



RQ1: Experiment



RQ1: Experiment



"I am using this image"







RQ1: Results



Are configuration-related features correlated with externally observable features ?



RQ2: Context



RQ2: Experiment









Takeaways

Developers mostly adopt official images



Takeaways

Developers mostly adopt official images

additional script

Number of lavers

Fewer SLOC not means a lower image size

Number of exposed secrets Number of adoptions

Takeaways

of

Developers mostly adopt official images

additional script

Fewer SLOC not means a lower image size

exposed secrets

Number of adoptions

Number of shell script smells

Code **smells** are not related with adoption

Summary





Giovanni Rosa giovanni.rosa@unimol.it

Get the paper here!

